

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,581	09/29/2000	Diana G. Hildreth	P/2167-165	3870
7590 03/24/2005			EXAMINER	
Steven I Weish	ourd, Esq.	POINVIL, FRANTZY		
Dickstein Shapii	ro Morin & Oshinsky LLI			
1177 Avenue of	the Americas- 41st Floor	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10036-2714			3628	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V	
1/2	

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/677,581	HILDRETH, DIANA G.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Frantzy Poinvil	3628	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the 	statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 December</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance exceeds	is non-final. ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	
Application Papers	·
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted of applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is re 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). quired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 	been received.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc application from the International Bureau (PCT * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Response to the Amendment dated 8/17/2004

The Examiner acknowledges applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 USC 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification.

Applicant's representative argues that Norris does not teach an output is generated which includes a comparison of at least part of a credit profile with a determined credit financing opportunity as recited in claims 1, 20, 39, 58 and 60.

In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's representative. It is noted that a credit profile is interpreted as any type of information related to the user at the user terminal. Part of a credit profile may be the user's name, income, payment terms and conditions related to the user applying for the financing opportunities. The determined credit opportunities are the interest rates and the amount available for the user to borrow.

As per argument that Norris does not include a comparison, it is noted that the claims are not positively-reciting performing-a-comparison. Only the result-or output of a comparison being claimed. Such an output may be outputting the credit profile of the user with the loan amount available to the user.

This teaching is clearly taught by Norris. Note columns 6 and 7 and particularly, column 8, line 56 to column 9, line 3 of Norris.

Art Unit: 3628

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-13, 20-32, 39-52, 15, 34, 54, 58 and 60-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Norris (US Patent No. 6,105,007).

As per claim 1, Norris discloses a system and method for allowing a user of a user terminal to receive credit financing opportunities (see the abstract), the method comprising: receiving credit data from the user terminal, the credit data including a user objective and a credit profile;

determining credit financing opportunities based on the credit data and at least one predetermined decision rule;

generating an output, the output including comparison of at least part of the credit profile with the determined credit financing opportunities;

and providing the output to the user terminal.

As per the above noted features, applicant is directed to columns 6 and 7 of Norris.

As per claim 2, Norris discloses the method including receiving a request from the user terminal to apply for a determined credit opportunity (column 6, lines 13-44).

As per claim 3, Norris discloses the credit profile is comprised of at least one user credit data element, the at least one user credit data element identifying one or more characteristics of corresponding financing previously undertaken by the user (column 6, lines 45-47 and column 9, lines 38-40).

As per claim 4, Norris discloses generating the output includes generating a first level output and generating a second level output (column 6, lines 54-64 and column 8, lines 56-67).

As per claim 5, Norris discloses the first level output includes one or more comparisons determined credit opportunity with a respective one of the at least one user credit data elements (column 7, lines 10-37).

As per claim 6, Norris discloses there are a plurality of credit data elements and the second output includes one or more comparisons of a determined credit opportunity with the plurality of user credit data elements (column 7, lines 10-37).

As per claim 7, Norris discloses a summary of the user profile data (column 8, lines 56-67 and column 6, lines 54-64).

As per claim 8, Norris discloses the at least one user credit data element includes an interest rate, an outstanding balance and a monthly payment (column 6, lines 45-46) as these information are obtained from a credit bureau.

As per claim 9, Norris discloses the at least one user credit data element further includes an identification of whether the corresponding credit data element was issued by a provider of the financing opportunities (as these information are obtained from the credit bureau and or provided by the loan applicant).

As per claim 10, Norris discloses the at least one user credit data element corresponds to at least one credit card, a vehicle loan, secured debt, an unsecured debt, home equity loan and a residential mortgage (column 6, lines 45-46).

As per claim 11, Norris discloses the summary includes at least one summary area corresponding to one of the user's credit cards, personal lines of credit, personal loans, home equity lines of credit, auto loans, unsecured credit and total outstanding credit (see column 8, lines 56-67).

As per claim 12, Norris discloses the user data further includes personal data corresponding to the user and further including the act of obtaining credit worthiness report based on the personal data (column 6, lines 29-46).

Art Unit: 3628

As per claim 13, Norris disclose determining credit financing opportunities includes consideration of the credit worthiness of the corresponding user (column 6, lines 45-64).

Claims 20-32 and 39-52 are directed to a computer storage medium storing computer executable instructions which when executed perform the functions found in claims 1-13. It is noted that Norris is a computer system and contains storage means and a processor for performing the functions recited in claims 1-13. Thus, claims 20-32 and 39-52 are rejected under a similar rationale applied to claims 1-13 above.

As per claims 15, 34 and 54, Norris discloses the user profile data includes a state of residence and wherein the predetermined decision rules include consideration of the state residence and current interest rates for potential financing opportunities (column 6, lines 29-44).

As per claim 58, Norris discloses a method for allowing a user of a user terminal to apply for a credit financing opportunity via a communication network, the method comprising:

Receiving <u>credit data</u> from the user terminal, the <u>credit data including a</u> user objective indicating that the user wishes to obtain credit and <u>a credit profile</u> (column 6, lines 6-44);

Determining potential financing products based at least in part of the credit data (column 7, lines 38-47);

Providing a list of the determined potential financing products to the user terminal and a comparison of at least part of the credit profile with the determined potential financing products

Art Unit: 3628

(Column 8, lines 42-67). A comparison of the credit profile with the determined potential financing products is the result of the loan data displayed to the loan applicant.

As per these limitations, applicant is directed to column 6lines 13-64 of Norris.

As per claim 60, Norris discloses a method for allowing a user of a terminal to receive optimized information regarding a selected topic, the method comprising:

Determining optimized information based on results of applying at least one predetermined decision rule to the operation data, the user objective <u>and current usage profile</u>.

As per this feature, applicant is directed to column 6, lines 6-44 of Norris.

Generating an output including a comparison of at least part of the current usage profile with the determined optimized information. As per this feature, applicant is directed to column 8, lines 42-67. of Norris.

As per these limitations, applicant is referred to column 6, line 13 to column 7, line 53.

As per claim 61, the knowledge base is connected to an electronic network. See figure 1 of Norris.

As per claim 62, the output includes links to at least one other electronic site (column 9, lines 47-60).

As per claim 63, querying at least one search engine concerning the input data and processing the results of the querying of at least one search engine (see column 6, line 13 to column 7, line 53).

As per claim 64, Norris discloses the output includes a list of the optimized information to the user terminal. See column 8, lines 56-67.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-18, 35-38, 55-57 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norris (US Patent No. 6,105,007).

The teachings of Norris are discussed above. As per claims 16-17, 35-36 and 55-56, Norris does not explicitly teach including receiving a request for detailed information corresponding to a selected one of the financing opportunities and wherein at least a part of the output is provided a substantially pictorial form and wherein the pictorial form includes at least one of a graph and a pie chart. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Norris to include such a feature in order to provide loan applicants with detailed information they can analyze at a glance.

As per claims 18, 37 and 57, the teachings of Norris are discussed above. Norris does not explicitly teach the predetermined decision rules further consider the user's taxation rate in

determining viable credit opportunities. Considering a user's taxation rate in determining credit opportunities would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to determine the true value that a user is qualified for especially if the loan is for a home in which the tax may be excessively high.

As per claims 19 and 38, the teachings of Norris are discussed above. Norris does not explicitly teach preparing a list of potential financing opportunities at least partially based on opportunity data received from at least one product processor, and wherein the determined financing opportunities are selected from the prepared list. Preparing such a list would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in the system of Norris in order to show all types of loan an applicant is qualified to apply for.

As per claim 59, Norris discloses providing a loan to applicants. Norris does not explicitly specifies the type of loans include: vehicle loans, education loans, home improvement loans, medical loans, large event loans and vacation loans. These loans are usually made available to consumers or loan applicants from various banks and or financial institutions. Providing these types of loans in the system of Norris would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to provide users with a system capable of providing a complete and multitude banking functions thereby making the system attractive to users.

As per claim 59, Norris discloses providing a loan to applicants. Norris does not explicitly specify the type of loans include: vehicle loans, education loans, home

improvement loans, medical loans, large event loans and vacation loans. These loans are usually made available to consumers or loan applicants from various banks and or financial institutions. Providing these types of loans in the system of Norris would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to provide users with a system capable of providing a complete and multitude banking functions thereby making the system attractive to users.

3. Claims 14, 33 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norris (US Patent No. 6,105,007) as applied to claims 1, 20 and 39 and further in view of the LA TIMES, Mortgage Math Made Easy OnLine, ISBN: 04583035, Los Angeles, California, June 17, 1999.

As per claims 14, 33 and 53, the teachings of Norris are discussed above. Norris does not explicitly disclose the credit opportunity is considered viable if is determined in the determining act that the user will save least a predetermined amount of money during a predetermined time period. The LATimes discloses an article wherein a user remotely accesses a remote mortgage company for applying for a loan. See the article. Terms of how much a borrower is saving are displayed to the applicant. See the article. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of displaying how much a borrower will save to the borrower as taught by the LATimes in the system of Norris in order to influence the applicant to apply for a loan or credit.

Art Unit: 3628

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantzy Poinvil whose telephone number is (703) 305-9779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00AM-5:30PM.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

FP

Au 3628

Page 11